Elements of Algebraic Structures

March 5 2024

Lecture 11: Introduction to Rings

Lecture: Sujata Ghosh S

Scribe: Aranya Kumar Bal, Sandeep Chatterjee

1 Topics for this lecture

In this lecture, we shall talk about the following

- 1. Rings
- 2. Special Types of Ring
- 3. Subrings

2 Rings

Definition 2.1 A ring is a non-empty set, R, with two binary operations on R, one denoted by + (addition) and the other denoted by \cdot (multiplication), such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1. (R, +) forms a commutative group.
- 2. is associative in R.
- 3. For all $a, b, c \in R$:

$$\begin{array}{l} (i) \ a \cdot (b+c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c \\ (ii) \ (b+c) \cdot a = b \cdot a + b \cdot c \end{array} \end{array} distributivity$$

$$\begin{array}{l} (1) \\ (2) \end{array}$$

We denote this ring by $(R, +, \cdot)$.

- R is said to be a commutative ring if $\forall a, b \in R, a \cdot b = b \cdot a$.
- *R* is said to be a ring with an identity if there exists an element 1, say, in *R*, such that $1 \cdot a = a \cdot 1 = a$ for all $a \in R$.

Examples

1.
$$(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot), (\mathbb{Q}, +, \cdot), (\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$$

2. $\left(M_n(\mathbb{R}), \underset{m \times a}{+}, \underset{m \times m}{\cdot}\right)$
3. $(2\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$

4.
$$(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, +_n, \cdot_n)$$

Exercise: Check if $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, +_n, \cdot_n)$ forms a ring. Use the fact that

$$(a+n\mathbb{Z})+_{n}(b+n\mathbb{Z}) = (a+b)+n\mathbb{Z}$$
$$(a+n\mathbb{Z})\cdot_{n}(b+n\mathbb{Z}) = (a\cdot b)+n\mathbb{Z}$$

Different Types of Rings

In fact, the definition of a ring becomes more succinct if we define a couple more algebraic structures.

A set S with a binary operation \cdot is called a *semi-group* if \cdot is closed in S and is associative.

It is called a *monoid* if it is a semi-group and has an identity element.

[Note that a group is just a monoid with inverses.]

Then we call a set R with binary operations + and \cdot a ring if (R, +) is a group, (R, \cdot) is a semi-group and + distributes over \cdot .

When we later define fields, you will see that it is $(F, +, \cdot)$ such that (F, +) is a group, (F, \cdot) is also a group and + distributes over \cdot .

5. Polynomial Rings

Take any ring $(R, +, \cdot)$. Consider a polynomial in x over ring R, say $a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \ldots + a_nx^n$, where a_i 's belong to R.

Define + and \cdot as follows:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i + \sum_{i=0}^{m} b_i x^i = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (a_i + b_i) x^i$$
$$[n \ge m, \ b_i = 0 \ \text{for} \ i > m]$$
$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{m} b_j x^j = \sum_{k=0}^{l} c_k x^k, \ c_k = \sum_{i+j=k}^{n} a_j b_i$$

Now, consider the collection of all such polynomials over ring R and denote it by R[x], and consider + and \cdot as defined above. Then, $(R[x], +, \cdot)$ forms a ring.

This ring is called the polynomial ring over R.

Definition 2.2 Let $(R; +, \cdot)$ be a ring. A polynomial, f(x), over R is an expression of the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \dots + a_n x^n$$

where $n \ge 0$, and $a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in R$. The set of all polynomials in the indeterminate x with coefficients in R is polynomial ring, denoted by R[x].

Exercise: Show that $(R[x], +, \cdot)$ forms a ring, where R is any ring.

6. Ring of Endomorphisms

- A homomorphism from a group G to itself is called an endomorphism.
- Let (G, +) be a commutative group. Let $f : G \to G$ and $g : G \to G$ be two endomorphisms. Define $+_e$ and \cdot_e as follows:

 $f +_e g$ is defined by $(f +_e g)(a) = f(a) + g(a)$ $f \cdot g$ is defined by $(f \cdot g)(a) = f(g(a))$

Different Types of Rings

Rings can be given different flavours to suit our taste.

In what follows, let R be a non-trivial ring.

- If there is an element $1 \in R$ such that $1 \cdot a = a \cdot 1 = a$ for each element $a \in R$, we say that R is a ring with unity or identity, sometimes also called an unital ring.
- A ring R for which ab = ba for all a, b in R is called a commutative ring.
- A ring R is called a domain if, for every $a, b \in R$ such that ab = 0, either a = 0 or b = 0.
- A domain R is called an integral domain if R is commutative.

In a unital ring R, an element $x \in R$ is called an unit if there exists $y \in R$ such that xy = 1.

- A unital ring is called a division ring (also sometimes called a skew-field) if every element is a unit.
- A commutative division ring is called a field.

So we have several ways to go from general rings to a field; first attach an identity, then make it commutative and finally make every element a unit

 $\mathrm{Rings} \to \mathrm{Unital\ rings} \to \mathrm{Commutative\ unital\ ring} \to \mathrm{Fields}$

or first attach an identity to the ring, then make everything a unit, and then make things commute

 $Rings \rightarrow Unital rings \rightarrow Division Ring \rightarrow Fields$

You can try and find several other ways.

In terms of inclusiveness:

Fields \subset Division Rings \subset Domains \subset Rings

 $Fields \subset Integral Domains \subset Domains \subset Rings$

3 End(G)

We defined two operations on the set of all endomorphisms on a group. Let us verify they actually form a ring.

Let End(G) denote the collection of all endomorphisms over G.

1. Is $(End(G), +_e)$ a commutative group?

(a) Let
$$f, g \in \text{End}(G)$$
.

$$(f +_e g)(a_1 + a_2) = f(a_1 + a_2) + g(a_1 + a_2)$$

= $f(a_1) + f(a_2) + g(a_1) + g(a_2)$
= $f(a_1) + g(a_1) + f(a_2) + g(a_2)$
= $(f +_e g)(a_1) + (f +_e g)(a_2)$

So, $f +_e g \in \text{End}(G)$.

- (b) Associativity of $+_e$ follows from associativity of + in G.
- (c) Consider the zero map $\mathcal{O}: G \to G$, where $\mathcal{O}(a) = e_G$ for all $a \in G$. Then, \mathcal{O} is the identity element.
- (d) Take $f \in \text{End}(G)$. Then, $f^{-1}: G \to G$ is defined by $f^{-1}(a) = -f(a)$, for all $a \in G$. Then,

$$(f + f^{-1})(a) = e_G \text{ for all } a \in G$$

 $\Rightarrow f + f^{-1} = \mathcal{O} \in \text{End}(G)$

(e) (f+g)(a) = f(a) + g(a) = g(a) + f(a) = (g+f)(a) for all $a \in G$. So, (f+g) = (g+f), where $f, g \in \text{End}(G)$.

So, (End(G), +) forms a commutative group.

- 2. Does $f \cdot g \in \text{End}(G)$? For any $a \in G$, $f \cdot g(a) = f(g(a))$. So, $f \cdot g \in \text{End}(G)$ as composition of homomorphisms is a homomorphism.
- 3. Is \cdot associative? Yes, as composition of maps is associative.

- 4. Does the distributive laws hold? Take $f, g, h \in \text{End}(G)$. To show:
 - (a) $f \cdot (g+h) = f \cdot g + f \cdot h$
 - (b) $(g+h) \cdot f = g \cdot f + h \cdot f$
 - (a) $(f \cdot (g+h))(a) = f(g+h)(a)$

$$= f(g(a) + f(h(a)))$$

= $f(g(a)) + f(h(a))$
= $f \cdot g(a) + f \cdot h(a)$, for all $a \in G$

Hence, $f(g+h) = f \cdot g + f \cdot h$. Similarly, (b) holds. So, $(\text{End}(G), +, \cdot)$ forms a ring.

What happens when $G = \mathbb{Z}$? If $G = \mathbb{Z}$, then any $f \in \text{End}(\mathbb{Z})$ is given by $f : (\mathbb{Z}, +) \to (\mathbb{Z}, +)$, where for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$f(k) = f(1 + 1 + \dots + 1) \ (k \text{ times})$$

= $f(1) + f(1) + f(1) + \dots + f(1) \ (k \text{ times})$
= $k \cdot f(1)$

So, any endomorphism f on $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ is fully given by f(1).

Exercise: Prove that Composition of homomorphisms is also a homomorphism.

Exercise: Show that $(g+h) \cdot f = g \cdot f + h \cdot f$.

Exercise^{*}: Prove that $f(k) = k \cdot f(1)$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}^-$.

4 Subrings

A subring S of a ring R is a ring with the operations on R restricted to S.

```
Homework: Find all subrings of (\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot).
```

5 Miscellaneous facts about small rings

The smallest possible group: $\{e\}$

What about smallest possible ring? Clearly $\{0\}$ is a ring. In fact, this ring has both identities, and they are the same.

What happens if $R \neq \{0\}$, that is, there is at least one non-zero element in R? We will show that in such a ring, if 1 exists, then for sure $1 \neq 0$. To prove this, we need a small lemma first.

Lemma 5.1 In a ring R, $a \cdot 0 = 0$ for all $a \in R$.

Proof. We use the fact that 0 + 0 = 0. Then we have

$$a \cdot 0 = a \cdot (0+0)$$
$$= a \cdot 0 + a \cdot 0$$

Since + forms a group, it is cancellative and hence by cancelling a $a \cdot 0$ on both sides, we get $0 = a \cdot 0$.

Claim 5.2 If $R \neq \{0\}$, then $1 \neq 0$ in R.

Proof. Suppose not. Now since $R \neq \{0\}$, there is $a \in R$ such that $a \neq 0$. Then $0 = a \cdot 0 = a \cdot 1 = a$ which is a contradiction.

Fun ring fact

One can wonder why we want the addition to be abelian in a ring. Let's see how far exploration can take us.

Call $(R, +, \cdot)$ a *near-ring* if R satisfies the following:

- (R, +) forms a group
- (R, \cdot) forms a semi-group
- + distributes over \cdot .

Note the difference with a ring; in a ring, + forms an abelian group, here we remove that restriction. We do get a nice result though.

Proposition 5.3 A near ring with identity is a unital ring.

Proof. Let 1 be the identity. Then for any x and y

$$(1+1)(x+y) = 1(x+y) + 1(x+y) = x + y + x + y$$

(1+1)(x+y) = (1+1)x + (1+1)y = x + x + y + y

Equating them and cancelling terms gives y + x = x + y and thus + is abelian. Hence R is a unital ring.

If \cdot does not have an identity, can we still force a near ring to be a ring? No, as the following construction shows.

Take the set as S_3 , the symmetric group on 3 elements. Let + be the group operation on S_3 and \cdot be defined as $a \cdot b = e$ for any $a, b \in S_3$. One can verify this is a near-ring but not a ring.

In fact, in the above example \cdot is commutative. We can have non-commutative near rings as well. Consider the following example. Take the set as S_3 like above. Let + be the group operation on S_3 again but let \cdot be defined as $a \cdot b = aba^{-1}b^{-1}$ for any $a, b \in S_3$. One can verify this is a near-ring but not a ring, and in fact is a non-commutative near-ring.