

Ring homomorphisms

A function  $f: (R, +, \cdot) \rightarrow (R', +', \cdot')$  is said to be a ring homomorphism if the following hold: for all  $r_1, r_2 \in R$ ,

$$(1) f(r_1 + r_2) = f(r_1) +' f(r_2)$$

$$(2) f(r_1 \cdot r_2) = f(r_1) \cdot' f(r_2)$$

Kernel of a ring homomorphism

Let  $R$  and  $R'$  be two rings, and let  $f: R \rightarrow R'$  be a ring homomorphism.

The kernel of  $f$ , denoted  $\text{Ker } f$  is defined as follows:

$$\text{Ker } f = \{r \in R : f(r) = 0_{R'}\}$$

Q. Suppose  $a, b \in \text{Ker } f$ . Is  $a+b \in \text{Ker } f$ ?

$$f(a+b) = f(a) + f(b) = 0 + 0 = 0$$

So,  $a+b \in \text{Ker } f$ .

Q. Suppose  $a, b \in \text{Ker } f$ . Is  $a \cdot b \in \text{Ker } f$ ?

$$f(a \cdot b) = f(a) \cdot f(b) = 0 \cdot 0 = 0$$

Q. Suppose  $r \in R$  and  $a \in \text{Ker } f$ .

Do  $r+a$  and  $r \cdot a \in \text{Ker } f$ ?

$$\begin{aligned} - f(r+a) &= f(r) + f(a) = f(r) + 0_{R'} \\ &= f(r) \text{ which may or may} \\ &\text{not be } 0_{R'}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $f(r+a)$  may not be  $0_{R'}$ ,  
that is  $r+a$  may not belong to  $\text{Ker } f$ .

$$\begin{aligned} - f(r \cdot a) &= f(r) \cdot f(a) = f(r) \cdot 0_{R'} \\ &= 0_{R'} \end{aligned}$$

So,  $r \cdot a$  will always be in  $\text{Ker } f$ .

So, we can say the following:

- $\text{Ker } f$  forms a subgroup of  $R$  under  $+$ .
- If  $r \in R$  and  $a \in \text{Ker } f$ , then  $r \cdot a \in \text{Ker } f$ .

This leads to the notion of ideals.

## Ideals of a ring $R$

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity.  $I \subseteq R$  is said to be an ideal of  $R$  if:

- $(I, +)$  is a subgroup of  $(R, +)$ .
- For any  $r \in R$ ,  $a \in I$ ,  $r \cdot a \in I$ .

## Examples

1.  $\ker f$ , where  $f$  is a ring homomorphism.

2.  $\{0_R\}$

3.  $R$ , the entire ring.

4. Take any  $a \in R$ . Consider:

$(a) = \{r \cdot a : r \in R\}$ . Does  $(a)$

form an ideal of  $R$ ? Yes (check!)

$(a)$  is known as a principal ideal of  $R$  generated by  $a$ .

Now, we have that for any homomorphism  $f$ , we have an ideal given by  $\text{Ker } f$ .

Is the converse true? Given an ideal  $I$  of a ring  $R$ , can we get a homomorphism  $f$  s.t.  $\text{Ker } f = I$ ? To answer this

question let us introduce the concept of quotient rings.

### Quotient Ring

Let  $R$  be a ring and  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . Since  $(I, +)$  is a subgroup of  $(R, +)$ , we can define the quotient group  $(R/I, +)$ ,

where  $R/I = \{r + I : r \in R\}$ , and

$$(r + I) + (r' + I) = (r + r') + I.$$

We have that  $(R/I, +)$  forms a commutative group.

Now, define  $(r + I) \cdot (r' + I) = rr' + I$ .

Does this definition make sense?

---

Note that  $r + I$ ,  $r' + I$ ,  $rr' + I$  are all sets of elements from  $R$ .

Take  $a \in r + I$  and  $b \in r' + I$ .

Then,  $a = r + i$  and  $b = r' + i'$  for some  $i, i' \in I$ . Now we have:

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot b &= (r + i) \cdot (r' + i') \\ &= rr' + ri' + ir' + ii' \\ &= rr' + i^{\#}, \text{ where } i^{\#} \in I \text{ (Why?)} \\ &\in rr' + I \end{aligned}$$

So, we see that the definition does make sense. Check its well-definedness!!

- $\cdot$  is associative in  $R/I$  (check!)
- distributivity laws hold in  $R/I$  (check!)

So,  $(R/I, +, \cdot)$  forms a ring.

Now, the ring is commutative as well.

Also, it has the multiplicative identity, given by  $1 + I$ , where  $1$  denotes the multiplicative identity of  $R$ .

So,  $(R/I, +, \cdot)$  is a commutative ring with identity.

Coming back to our original question regarding a ring  $R$  and its ideal  $I$ , consider  $f: R \rightarrow R/I$ ,  $r \mapsto r + I$ , we have  $\ker f = I$ .

Units in a ring  $R$ .

An element  $a \in R$  is said to be a unit in  $R$  if there exists  $b \in R$  s.t.  $a \cdot b = 1$ .

Examples:

(1) What are the units in  $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$ ?  
 $1, -1$ .

(2) What are the units in  $(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$ ?  
[1], [3]

(3) What are the units in  $(\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$ ?  
All the non-zero elements of  $\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$

(4) What are the units in  $(M_n(\mathbb{R}), +, \cdot)$ ?  
(Note that this is a non-commutative ring)  
All the elements of  $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

## Field

A field is a commutative ring with identity such that every non-zero element is a unit.

From the examples above,  $(\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$  forms a field. Other examples are  $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ .

Q. What are the ideals of a field  $R$ ?

$\{0_R\}$  is an ideal of  $R$ .

Now, let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ , such that  $I \neq \{0_R\}$ . Take any

$a \in I$ , s.t.  $a \neq 0_R$ . Since  $R$  is a field, there is a  $b \in R$ , s.t.

$a \cdot b = 1$ . So,  $1 \in I$ , that is

$I = R$ .  $R$  can have only two ideals.

Q. What about the converse?

Let us consider a commutative ring with identity,  $R$ , say, such that  $R$  has only two ideals.

Does  $R$  form a field?



To show that  $R$  is a field, we need to show that every non-zero element of  $R$  is a unit.

Take  $a \in R$ , s.t.  $a \neq 0_R$ .

To show that  $a$  is a unit.

The information that we have about  $R$  is that  $R$  has only two ideals. Now, let us consider  $(a)$ , the principal ideal generated

by  $a$ . Can the principal ideal generated by  $a$  be  $\{0_R\}$ ? No,

as  $a \neq 0_R$ . So,  $(a) = R$ . Then,

$1_R \in (a)$ . So, there is  $b \in R$  s.t.

$b \cdot a = 1_R$ . Hence,  $a$  is a unit.

Hence, every non-zero element

in  $R$  is a unit. Thus,  $R$  forms a field. This completes the proof.

Example:

Ideals of  $(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$  are  $\{[0]\}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} = ([1])$ ,  $([2]) = \{[0], [2]\}$

Number of ideals  $> 2$

**H.W.** What are the ideals of  $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$ ?

**H.W.** What are the ideals of  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$ , where  $p$  is a prime number,  $k \geq 1$ ?