

Splitting field

- Let K be a field. A polynomial $f(x)$ in $K[x]$ is said to split over a field $S \supseteq K$ if $f(x)$ can be factored as a product of linear factors in $S[x]$.
- A field S containing K is said to be a splitting field for $f(x)$ over K if $f(x)$ splits over S , but over no intermediate field of S/K .

Example

- ① Consider the fields \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{R} , and the polynomial $x^2 + 1$ on \mathbb{R} . \mathbb{C} is a splitting field over \mathbb{R} .
 - $x^2 + 1$ splits over \mathbb{C} into $(x+i)(x-i)$.
 - Also, $[\mathbb{C} : \mathbb{R}] = 2$. If there is any such intermediate field,

then $[C:R] = [C:L][L:R]$. So,
 $L = C$ or R .

(2) Consider the fields C and Q , and the polynomial x^2+1 over Q . C is not a splitting field of x^2+1 over Q , as $Q(i)$ is an intermediate field over which x^2+1 splits.

H.W. Let K be field and $f(x) \in K[x]$. Let F/K be a field extension s.t. $f(x)$ splits over F , that is,

$$f(x) = c(x-c_1)(x-c_2) \dots (x-c_n)$$

in F . Then, $K(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n)$ is a splitting field for $f(x)$ over K .

Let us now discuss about the existence and uniqueness of splitting fields.

Proposition (Existence): Let K be a field and $f(x)$ be a non-constant polynomial over K . Then there exists a splitting field of $f(x)$ over K .

Proof idea: By induction on the degree of the polynomial $f(x)$.

Base Step: $\deg f(x) = 1$. Then the splitting field is K itself.

Assume I.H.

I.S.: Take $\deg f(x) = n+1$. $f(x)$ has a root c_1 in some field extension K_1/K .

Then, $f(x) = (x - c_1) f_1(x)$. Apply I.H. and complete the proof.

Proposition (Uniqueness): Let K be a field and $f(x)$ be a non-constant polynomial over K . Show that any two splitting fields S and S' of $f(x)$ are isomorphic.

Proof idea: We also prove this by applying induction on the degree of the polynomial $f(x)$.

Base Step: $\deg f(x) = 1$. Then $S = S' = K$.

Assume I.H.

I.S.: $\deg f(x) = n+1$. Without loss of generality, let us assume $f(x)$ to be irreducible. Let c_1 be a root of $f(x)$ in S and c'_1 be the same in S' .

Then, $K[c_1] = K(c_1)$ and $K[c'_1] = K(c'_1)$.

Define an isomorphism $\alpha: K(c_1) \rightarrow K(c'_1)$

: $c_1 \mapsto c'_1$ and extend it to an isomorphism from S to S' using induction hypothesis.

This would complete the proof.

Example

Consider $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ and consider the polynomial $x^4 - 3$.

- First we would show that $x^4 - 3$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} . We would use

Eisenstein Criteria:

[Let $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_nx^n$ be a polynomial with integer coefficients. Let there be a prime number p s.t. : (i) $p \nmid a_n$, (ii) $p \mid a_i$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ and, (iii) $p^2 \nmid a_0$.

Then the polynomial is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} .]

Thus, we have that $x^4 - 3$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} ($p = 3$).

Then we have a field $F = \mathbb{Q}[x] / \underbrace{(x^4 - 3)}$

which has a root of $x^4 - 3$, namely, $x + (x^4 - 3) = \lambda_1$, say.

Then, we can write the following:

$$\mathbb{Q}[x] / \underbrace{(x^4 - 3)} = \mathbb{Q}(\lambda_1) = \left\{ a + b\lambda_1 + c\lambda_1^2 + d\lambda_1^3 : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}$$

Indeed, $\{1, \lambda_1, \lambda_1^2, \lambda_1^3\}$ forms a basis of $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda_1)$ over \mathbb{Q} (as, $x^4 - 3$ forms the minimal polynomial of λ_1 over \mathbb{Q}).

$$\text{So, } x^4 - 3 = (x - \lambda_1) g(x).$$

Repeating the same argument, we would finally have $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda_1)(\lambda_2)(\lambda_3)(\lambda_4) = \mathbb{Q}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4)$, with

$$\underline{x^4 - 3 = (x - \lambda_1)(x - \lambda_2)(x - \lambda_3)(x - \lambda_4)}$$

Without loss of generality we can say, $\lambda_2 = -\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_4 = -\lambda_3$.

Now, if we consider the polynomial $x^4 - 3$ in $\mathcal{Q}(\lambda_1)$, we can write,

$$\begin{aligned} x^4 - 3 &= (x^2 - \lambda_1^2)(x^2 + \lambda_1^2) \\ &= (x - \lambda_1)(x + \lambda_1)(x^2 + \lambda_1^2) \end{aligned}$$

We have: $(x^2 + \lambda_1^2)$ is irreducible

over $\mathcal{Q}(\lambda_1)$ (Check!). And considering λ_3 to be a root of $x^2 + \lambda_1^2$ over $\mathcal{Q}(\lambda_1)$,

we have $[\mathcal{Q}(\lambda_1, \lambda_3) : \mathcal{Q}(\lambda_1)] = 2$.

Also, $[\mathcal{Q}(\lambda_1) : \mathcal{Q}] = 4$

So, $[\mathcal{Q}(\lambda_1, \lambda_3) : \mathcal{Q}] = [\mathcal{Q}(\lambda_1, \lambda_3) : \mathcal{Q}(\lambda_1)][\mathcal{Q}(\lambda_1) : \mathcal{Q}]$
 $= 4 \cdot 2 = 8$.