

LECTURE 4

19.01.2024

- Union of finitely many countable sets is countable

Proof idea: It follows by induction using H.W. ① above.

- Union of countably many countable sets is also countable.

Proof idea: Let $\{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable collection of countable sets. Let $A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$. To show that A is countable, let A_n 's be pairwise disjoint.

Now, we have that each A_n is countable. Then, each A_n can be written as: $\{a_{n1}, a_{n2}, a_{n3}, \dots\}$ that is, $A_n = \{a_{nk}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. Then we have the following arrangement for the members of A :

a_{11}	a_{12}	a_{13}	a_{14}	-	-	-	-
a_{21}	a_{22}	a_{23}	a_{24}	-	-	-	-
a_{31}	a_{32}	a_{33}	a_{34}	-	-	-	-
a_{41}	a_{42}	a_{43}	a_{44}	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

This enumeration gives a bijection between \mathbb{N} and A . So A is countable.

Products of countable sets

- Let A and B be two countable sets. Then, $A \times B$ is countable.

Proof idea: $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$

$B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots\}$

Then, one can think of the following

(a_1, b_1)	(a_1, b_2)	(a_1, b_3)	-	-	-
(a_2, b_1)	(a_2, b_2)	(a_2, b_3)	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-

- Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be n countable sets, where $n \geq 1$. Then $A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_n$ is also countable.

Proof idea: The proof follows from the previous result using induction.

- Let A_1, A_2, \dots be a countable collection of countable sets. Then what can we say about $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$, that is countable product of countable sets?

Let us consider the set $A = \{0, 1\}$.

Let $B = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$, where $A_n = A$ for all n .

Is B countable? NO

Proof. Suppose B is countable.

Then $B = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots\}$. Now, each b_i is a sequence of 0's and 1's.

Then, $b_i = (b_{i1}, b_{i2}, b_{i3}, \dots)$, where

$b_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let c be a sequence of 0's and 1's defined as follows:

$$c_i = 0 \text{ if } b_{ii} = 1 \\ 1 \text{ if } b_{ii} = 0, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then, $c \neq b_i$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $c \notin B$, a contradiction. Hence, our assumption that B is countable cannot be true. Hence, B is uncountable. This completes the proof. \square

What went on in this proof?

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} b_1 & = & \underline{b_{11}} & b_{12} & b_{13} & b_{14} & - & - & - & - \\ b_2 & = & b_{21} & \underline{b_{22}} & b_{23} & b_{24} & - & - & - & - \\ b_3 & = & b_{31} & b_{32} & \underline{b_{33}} & b_{34} & - & - & - & - \\ & & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\ & & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\ b_i & = & b_{i1} & b_{i2} & b_{i3} & b_{i4} & - & - & - & - \end{array}$$

To construct c , we considered b_{ii} 's. This is what is famously known as 'diagonalization argument'.

H.W. \mathbb{R} is uncountable

Some notations.

When we have $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$, where $A_n = A$ for all n ; we denote $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ by $\underline{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$;

any tuple over A which is countable in size can be represented by a function $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$. [X^Y denotes the set of all functions from Y to X .]

This not only holds for \mathbb{N} , but for any indexing set I . For

example, if we consider the collection $\{A_i : i \in I\}$, $A_i = A$ for all $i \in I$, $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$ is also given by \underline{A}^I .

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2^{\mathbb{N}} \text{ is uncountable } (2 = \{0, 1\}) \\ \mathbb{R} \text{ is uncountable.} \end{array} \right.$

H.W. Prove that there is a bijection between $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ and \mathbb{R} .

How many 'infinities' do we have?

- Countable sets (can be represented by \mathbb{N})
- Uncountable sets (do all uncountable sets have bijections between each other?)
NO

Some definitions

- Let A be any set. We denote the cardinality of A by $|A|$.
For example, we have $|\mathbb{N}|$ denoting the cardinality of \mathbb{N} and similarly, we have $|\mathbb{R}|$. Let $|\mathbb{N}| = \aleph_0$, and let $|\mathbb{R}| = c$ [we have $2^{\aleph_0} = c$].
- If there is an injection from a set A to a set B , then we

denote it by: $|A| \leq |B|$. And,
 $<$ denote the strict order.

Schröder-Bernstein Theorem: Let A and B be two sets such that there is an injection from A to B and an injection from B to A . Then, there is a bijection between A and B .

Finally, **Cantor** showed us that 'there are infinitely many infinities'.

Let A be any set. Then $|A| < |\mathcal{P}(A)|$

Proof: We have an injection from A to $\mathcal{P}(A)$ given by $f: A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A)$:
 $a \mapsto \{a\}$. It is enough to show that there cannot be a surjection from A to $\mathcal{P}(A)$. Suppose not. Then, let $g: A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A)$ be a surjection.

Let us consider the following set:

$$B = \{b \in A \mid b \notin g(b)\} . \text{ Then,}$$

$B \subseteq A$, so $B \in \mathcal{P}(A)$. Now,

g is surjective. So, there is $a \in A$, such that $g(a) = B$.

Does $a \in g(a)$ or, $a \notin g(a)$?

Suppose $a \in g(a) = B$. Then: $a \notin g(a)$.

Suppose $a \notin g(a) = B$. Then: $a \in g(a)$.

So, we arrive at a contradiction.

Hence, our original assumption about the existence of a surjection is

not true. Thus, there cannot be a surjection from A to $\mathcal{P}(A)$.

So, $|A| < |\mathcal{P}(A)|$. This completes the proof. \square