

LECTURE 9

13.02.2024

② Let G be a finite group of prime order. Then, G is a cyclic group.

Proof. Let $|G| = p$, a prime number. So, there are non-identity elements in G .

Take any such element, g , say. Consider the cyclic subgroup $\langle g \rangle$ generated by g .

Then, by Lagrange's theorem $|\langle g \rangle| \mid |G|$.

So, $|\langle g \rangle| = 1$ or p . Since $g \neq e_G$,

$|\langle g \rangle| = p$. But, $\langle g \rangle \subseteq G$ and, hence,

$\langle g \rangle = G$. Thus, G is a cyclic group.

③ Any group with prime p as its order is cyclic. What about any group of order p^2 ? Not necessarily.

Consider the group of order 4:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

matrix multiplication), where all non-identity elements are of order 2.

Some more examples of cosets

Consider the group $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$. We know that the subgroups are of the form $(n\mathbb{Z}, +)$, where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Q. What are the cosets of $(5\mathbb{Z}, +)$?

A. $\{0+5\mathbb{Z}, 1+5\mathbb{Z}, 2+5\mathbb{Z}, 3+5\mathbb{Z}, 4+5\mathbb{Z}\}$

H.W. Prove the answer above, that is, show that the above collection of sets partitions \mathbb{Z} .

Q. What are the cosets of $n\mathbb{Z}$ in \mathbb{Z} , $n \geq 1$?

The collection of cosets of $n\mathbb{Z}$ in \mathbb{Z} is:

$\{a + n\mathbb{Z} : 0 \leq a \leq n-1\}$. [We can always assume that $n \geq 1$].

Another way of writing this set is as follows: $\{[0], [1], \dots, [n-1]\}$.

We denote this set as \mathbb{Z}_n or $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.

Q. Does \mathbb{Z}_n form a group under some operation?

Consider $[a], [b] \in \mathbb{Z}_n$.

Define $[a] +_n [b] = [a+b]$

↓ ↓ ↓
set set set
of of of
integers integers integers

Let us consider $n = 5$. Then, we have:

$$[3] +_5 [4] = [7] = [2] \quad (\text{Why?})$$

To answer this query we should consider the equivalence relation underlying these classes, which would give us different representative members of the same class.

Now, what is the equivalence relation \sim here for which $2 \sim 7$?

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. We define $a \sim_n b$ iff $[a] = [b]$ in \mathbb{Z}_n iff $n \mid a - b$.

Above, we have defined the operation $+_n$ between such equivalence classes.

In these cases, we need to check well-definedness of such operations.

We have defined $[a] +_n [b] = [a + b]$.

Now, if $a \sim a'$ and $b \sim b'$, then we should have $a + b \sim a' + b'$.

Proof: Suppose $a \sim a'$ and $b \sim b'$

Then, $n \mid a - a'$ and $n \mid b - b'$

So, $n \mid (a - a') + (b - b')$

or, $n \mid (a + b) - (a' + b')$

Hence, $a + b \sim a' + b'$. Thus,

- $+_n$ is well-defined on \mathbb{Z}_n

- Is $+_n$ associative?

$$([a] +_n [b]) +_n [c]$$

$$= [a+b] +_n [c]$$

$$= [(a+b) + c]$$

$$= [a + (b+c)]$$

$$= [a] +_n [b+c]$$

$$= [a] +_n ([b] +_n [c])$$

- $[0]$ is the identity element.

$$[a] +_n [0] = [a+0] = [a]$$

$$[0] +_n [a] = [0+a] = [a]$$

- Inverse of $[a]$ is $[n-a]$

$$[a] +_n [n-a] = [a+(n-a)] = [n] = [0]$$

$$[n-a] +_n [a] = [(n-a)+a] = [n] = [0]$$

So, \mathbb{Z}_n forms a group under $+_n$.

Another operation on \mathbb{Z}_n

Define \times_n on \mathbb{Z}_n as follows:

$$[a] \times_n [b] = [a \cdot b]$$

H.W. Does \mathbb{Z}_n form a group under this operation? If not, can you find a non-trivial subset of \mathbb{Z}_n which will form a group under \times_n ?

Let G be a group and f be a homomorphism with domain G . Consider $\text{Ker } f$.

Q. Does the cosets of $\text{Ker } f$ in the group G form a group under certain operation?

Let $H = \text{Ker } f$, a subgroup of G .

Consider $\mathcal{H} = \{aH : a \in G\}$, the set of all cosets of H in G .

Define $aM * bM = abM$

- $*$ is well-defined.

Take $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in G$.

Let $a_1, a_2 \in aM$, that is $a_1 \sim a_2$.

and $b_1, b_2 \in bM$, that is $b_1 \sim b_2$.

We need to show that:

$a_1 b_1 \sim a_2 b_2$, that is: $a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2 \in abM$

Now, $a_1 = ah_1$ $a_2 = ah_2$

$b_1 = bh_3$ $b_2 = bh_4$,

$h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4 \in M$.

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} & f(a_1 b_1) \\ &= f(ah_1 bh_3) \\ &= f(a) f(h_1) f(b) f(h_3) \\ &= f(a) f(b) \\ &= f(a) f(h_2) f(b) f(h_4) \\ &= f(ah_2 bh_4) \\ &= f(a_2 b_2) \end{aligned}$$

So, $a_1 b_1 \sim a_2 b_2$, that is:

$$a_1 b_1 H = a_2 b_2 H$$

[Here: $a \sim b$ iff $f(a) = f(b)$]

- $*$ is associative:

$$(aH * bH) * cH$$

$$= (ab)H * cH$$

$$= (abc)H$$

$$= a(bc)H$$

$$= aH * (bc)H$$

$$= aH * (bH * cH)$$

[It follows from associativity of the group operation \cdot , say, in G]

- H is the identity element.

- Inverse of aH is $a^{-1}H$.

Thus $(K, *)$ forms a group.

We denote this group by G/K .

We have now seen that cosets in \mathbb{Z} form a group. Also, if we consider the subgroup to be $\ker f$ for some homomorphism f , cosets of $\ker f$ also form a group.

Q. Take any group G and take any subgroup H of G . Would the cosets of H in G always form a group under the operation we considered earlier? If not, what condition should we impose on subgroups to make this operation on cosets work?

Claim: For this operation on cosets to work, we have to consider normal subgroups.

A proof of the claim:

Let G be a group and H be a subgroup of G which is not a normal subgroup. Then, there is a $g \in G$ and there is an $h \in H$, s.t. $ghg^{-1} \notin H$. Now, we consider the cosets of H in G and consider the operation:

$$aH * bH = abH \quad \text{for all } a, b \in G.$$

If we take $b = a^{-1}$, we have:

$$aH * a^{-1}H = aa^{-1}H = e_G H = H.$$

Then, for any $h_1, h_2 \in H$, there is $h_3 \in H$, s.t. $ah_1 a^{-1} h_2 = h_3 \in H$.

Now, take $a = g$; $h_1 = h$; $h_2 = e_G$. Then? $ghg^{-1} \in H$, a contradiction.

So, H has to be a normal subgroup. This completes the proof. \square

Quotient Group

Let G be a group and H be a normal subgroup of G . The group formed by the cosets of H in G , denoted by G/H , is called a quotient group of H in G . The group operation is given by:
 $aH * bH = abH$ for all $a, b \in G$.

Examples

$$\textcircled{1} \left(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, +_n \right) : (a+n\mathbb{Z}) +_n (b+n\mathbb{Z}) = (a+b) + n\mathbb{Z}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \left(G/\ker f, * \right) : (a \cdot \ker f) * (b \cdot \ker f) = (a \cdot b) \cdot \ker f$$