

Lecture 26

Let us first note that this model we constructed above is known as the **canonical model**. We are now ready to prove the following.

$\mathcal{M}, w \models \varphi$ iff $\varphi \in w$, where \mathcal{M} is the canonical model. (Truth lemma).

Once we have the truth lemma, we would have: $\mathcal{M}, \Delta \models \varphi$ iff $\varphi \in \Delta$, which gives us a pointed model (\mathcal{M}, Δ) for Δ , and hence a pointed model (\mathcal{M}, Δ) for Γ as $\Delta \supseteq \Gamma$.

Proof of the truth lemma

We prove this by applying induction on the size of φ .

Base case: $\varphi := p$. The result follows from the definition of V . $M, w \models p$ iff $w \in V(p)$ iff $p \in w$.

I.H.: Suppose the result holds for all formulas φ of size $\leq m$.

I.S.: Suppose φ is a formula of size $m+1$. Then we have the following cases

$\varphi := \neg\psi$. $M, w \models \neg\psi$ iff $M, w \not\models \psi$ iff $\psi \notin w$ (I.H.)
iff $\neg\psi \in w$ (MCS). So, $M, w \models \varphi$ iff $\varphi \in w$.

$\varphi := \psi \vee \chi$. $M, w \models \psi \vee \chi$ iff $M, w \models \psi$ or $M, w \models \chi$,
iff $\psi \in w$ or $\chi \in w$ (I.H.) iff $\psi \vee \chi \in w$ (MCS)
So, $M, w \models \varphi$ iff $\varphi \in w$.

$\varphi := \Diamond\psi$. We have to show that
 $M, w \models \Diamond\psi$ iff $\Diamond\psi \in w$.

• Suppose $M, w \models \Diamond\psi$. To show $\Diamond\psi \in w$.

Since $M, w \models \Diamond\psi$, there is v in M with $w R v$ and $M, v \models \psi$. So, by I.H.,

there is v in M with wRv and $\psi \in v$.

Then, by definition of R , $\Diamond\psi \in w$.

• Conversely, suppose that $\Diamond\psi \in w$. To show that $M, w \Vdash \Diamond\psi$. We have to show that there is v in M such that wRv and $M, v \Vdash \psi$ (by I.H., $M, v \Vdash \psi$ iff $\psi \in v$). So, we have to find a v in M such that wRv and $\psi \in v$. Thus our assumption is $\Diamond\psi \in w$. And, we need to show the existence of a v such that $\psi \in v$ and for all modal formulas ϕ , $\phi \in v$ implies $\Diamond\phi \in w$. Let us first prove the following

Observation. wRv iff for all modal formulas ϕ , $\Box\phi \in w$ implies $\phi \in v$.

Proof: $\#$ Suppose wRv . Let ϕ be a modal formula such that $\Box\phi \in w$. To show $\phi \in v$. Suppose not. Then, $\neg\phi \in v$. So $\Diamond\neg\phi \in w$.

[Axiom 2. $\Box\phi \leftrightarrow \neg\Diamond\neg\phi$]

Then $\neg\Box\phi \in w$ (Check!)

This is a contradiction to the consistency of w . So we have our result, that is, $\phi \in v$.

Thus for all modal formulas ϕ , whenever $\Box\phi \in w$, $\phi \in v$.

* Conversely, suppose that for all modal formulas ϕ , $\Box\phi \in w$ implies $\phi \in v$. To show that $w R v$, that is, for all modal formulas ϕ , $\phi \in v$ implies $\Diamond\phi \in w$. Let $\phi \in v$. To show $\Diamond\phi \in w$. Suppose not. Then, $\neg\Diamond\phi \in w$. Then, by Axiom (2), $\Box\neg\phi \in w$ (Check!). Then, $\neg\phi \in v$, a contradiction. Hence, the result. This completes the proof of the observation.

Let us now go back to the original proof. We have: $\Diamond\psi \in w$. We need to show the existence of an MCS v such that $w R v$ and $\psi \in v$.

Let $v' = \{\psi\} \cup \{\chi : \Box\chi \in w\}$.

It is enough to show that v' is

consistent. Because then, we can take v to be an MCS extending v' . Such a v would satisfy both the conditions $\psi \in v$ and $w R v$.

Proof of v' being consistent

Suppose not. Then there exists a finite subset of v' that is inconsistent. So, there are x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , such that

$$\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \vdash \neg \psi \quad (\text{Check!})$$

[H.W. If $\Gamma \cup \{\phi\}$ is inconsistent, then $\Gamma \vdash \neg \phi$]

Then, $\vdash (x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n) \rightarrow \neg \psi$.

[Rule (2): $\frac{\vdash \phi}{\vdash \Box \phi}$ (Generalisation)]

Then, $\vdash \Box ((x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n) \rightarrow \neg \psi)$

[Axiom (3): $\Box (\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\Box \phi \rightarrow \Box \psi)$]

Then, $\vdash \Box (x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n) \rightarrow \Box \neg \psi$.

[Theorem: $\Box (\phi \wedge \psi) \leftrightarrow (\Box \phi \wedge \Box \psi)$ (H.W.)]

Then, $\vdash (\Box X_1 \wedge \Box X_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \Box X_n) \rightarrow \Box \neg \psi$.

Now, $\Box X_1 \wedge \Box X_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \Box X_n \in \omega$.

So, $\Box \neg \psi \in \omega$. Then $\neg \Diamond \psi \in \omega$.

(by Axiom (2)). But $\Diamond \psi \in \omega$, so we have a contradiction. Hence, the result.

This completes the proof of truth lemma and hence, the completeness.

Axiom system for basic modal logic (PML)

Axiom 1: All propositional tautologies.

Axiom 2: $\Box \phi \leftrightarrow \neg \Diamond \neg \phi$.

Axiom 3: $\Box (\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\Box \phi \rightarrow \Box \psi)$.

Rule 1:
$$\frac{\phi \quad \phi \rightarrow \psi}{\psi} \text{ (M.P.)}$$

Rule 2:
$$\frac{\vdash \phi}{\vdash \Box \phi} \text{ (Gen.)}$$

Can we get such sound and complete axiom systems for certain special class of models?

- Models based on reflexive frames

- Models based on transitive frames
- Models based on symmetric frames
- Models based on equivalence frames

In the proof above, we showed that $\Gamma \vdash \varphi$ iff $\Gamma \vDash \varphi$. For defining \vDash we considered all Kripke models. Now we can consider some restricted class of models like above.

Examples

1. Reflexive models :
What would be the corresponding axiom system ?

The proof idea follows from the completeness proof that we finished just now, but for this case we need to ensure that the canonical model is reflexive, that is R should have the property: wRw for all $w \in W$, the set of all MCS's. This means that for all modal formulas φ , $\varphi \in w$ implies $\Box \varphi \in w$ (equivalently,

$\Box \varphi \in \omega$ implies $\varphi \in \omega$.)

Axiom T: $\Box \varphi \rightarrow \varphi$.

Thus, the axiom system for PML
+
Axiom T

will give us a complete axiomatization
for the models based on reflexive frames.

2. Transitive models

Axiom 4: $\Box \varphi \rightarrow \Box \Box \varphi$

Then, the axiom system for PML
+
Axiom 4

will give us a complete axiomatization
for the models based on transitive frames.

Some other examples.

1. System K (all models)
2. System T (reflexive models)
3. System S4 (reflexive and transitive models)
4. System S5 (models with equivalence relations)