

Lecture 3

Bound variable and free variables

Bound variables : Variables which occur under the scope of a quantifier.

Free variables : Variables that are not bound.

$\forall x \exists y p_1^2 xy$: Both x and y are bound.

$\forall x p_1^2 xy$: x is bound, y is free.

Set of free variables occurring in terms :

$$FV(x) = \{x\}$$

$$FV(c) = \emptyset$$

$$FV(f_i^n t_1 t_2 \dots t_n) = \bigcup_{k=1}^n FV(t_k)$$

Set of free variables occurring in formulas

$$FV(t_1 \equiv t_2) = FV(t_1) \cup FV(t_2)$$

$$FV(p_i^n t_1 t_2 \dots t_n) = \bigcup_{k=1}^n FV(t_k)$$

$$FV(\neg \phi) = FV(\phi)$$

$$FV(\phi \wedge \psi) = FV(\phi) \cup FV(\psi)$$

$$FV(\phi \vee \psi) = FV(\phi) \cup FV(\psi)$$

$$FV(\phi \rightarrow \psi) = FV(\phi) \cup FV(\psi)$$

$$FV(\phi \leftrightarrow \psi) = FV(\phi) \cup FV(\psi)$$

$$FV(\forall x \phi) = FV(\phi) \setminus \{x\}$$

$$FV(\exists x \phi) = FV(\phi) \setminus \{x\}$$

Proposition: Let ϕ be a formula and (D, I) be a structure. For any two assignment functions g_1, g_2 , if g_1 and g_2 agree on $FV(\phi)$, we have: $(D, I, g_1) \models \phi$ iff $(D, I, g_2) \models \phi$.

Size of a formula: The number of connectives and quantifiers present in the formula.

Proof of the proposition:

We prove this result by applying induction on the size of the formula φ . We have a domain D and an interpretation I . We also have two variable assignment functions g_1 and g_2 which agree on the free variables of φ . To prove:

$$M_1 = (D, I, g_1) \models \varphi \text{ iff}$$

Base Case: (i) $\varphi: t_1 \equiv t_2$: Then, $M_1 \models \varphi$ iff

$$M_1 \models t_1 \equiv t_2 \text{ iff } g_1(t_1) = g_1(t_2) \text{ iff}$$

$$g_2(t_1) = g_2(t_2) \text{ iff } M_2 \models t_1 \equiv t_2 \text{ iff } M_2 \models \varphi.$$

(ii) $\varphi: \forall x_1 t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$: Then, $M_1 \models \varphi$ iff

$$M_1 \models \forall x_1 t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n \text{ iff } (g_1(t_1), \dots, g_1(t_n)) \in I(\forall x_1)$$

iff $(y_2(t_1), \dots, y_2(t_n)) \in I(b_i^n)$ iff

$M_2 \models b_i^n, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$ iff $M_2 \models \varphi$.

Induction Hypothesis: Suppose the result holds for all formulas with size $\leq m$.

Induction Step: Suppose the size of φ is $m+1$.

Case I: $\varphi: \neg \psi$: $M_1 \models \varphi$ iff $M_1 \models \neg \psi$
iff $M_1 \not\models \psi$ iff $M_2 \not\models \psi$ (by I.H.) iff
 $M_2 \models \neg \psi$ iff $M_2 \models \varphi$.

Case II: $\varphi: \psi \wedge \chi$: $M_1 \models \varphi$ iff $M_1 \models \psi \wedge \chi$
iff $M_1 \models \psi$ and $M_1 \models \chi$ iff $M_2 \models \psi$ and $M_2 \models \chi$
(by I.H.) iff $M_2 \models \psi \wedge \chi$ iff $M_2 \models \varphi$.

Case III: $\varphi: \forall x \psi$. $M_1 \models \varphi$ iff $M_1 \models \forall x \psi$

iff for all $d \in D$, $M_1[x \rightarrow d] \models \Psi$ iff

$M_2[x \rightarrow d] \models \Psi$ for all $d \in D$ iff $M_2 \models \forall x \Psi$

iff $M_2 \models \varphi$.

To prove iff: Take any $d \in D$. Then

we have:
$$f_k[x \rightarrow d](y) = \begin{cases} f_k(y), & y \neq x \\ d, & y = x \end{cases}$$

$k = 1, 2$.

Also, $FV(\varphi) = FV(\Psi) \setminus \{x\}$.

We will be able to prove iff if we can show that $f_1[x \rightarrow d]$ and $f_2[x \rightarrow d]$

agree on $FV(\Psi)$. Because then, by I.H. we will have: $M_1[x \rightarrow d] \models \Psi$ iff $M_2[x \rightarrow d] \models \Psi$.

Now, $\mathcal{M}_1[x \mapsto d]$ and $\mathcal{M}_2[x \mapsto d]$ agree on $FV(\psi)$ as (i) they agree on $FV(\phi)$, and (ii) they agree on x . We note that $FV(\phi) = FV(\psi) \setminus \{x\}$. Thus we have:

$$\mathcal{M}_1[x \mapsto d] \models \psi \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathcal{M}_2[x \mapsto d] \models \psi$$

Since we have taken an arbitrary $d \in D$, we have that for all $d \in D$, $\mathcal{M}_1[x \mapsto d] \models \psi$ iff $\mathcal{M}_2[x \mapsto d] \models \psi$. Thus, $\mathcal{M}_1 \models \forall x \psi$ iff $\mathcal{M}_2 \models \forall x \psi$, i.e., $\mathcal{M}_1 \models \phi$ iff $\mathcal{M}_2 \models \phi$.

This completes the proof. \square

Why did not we do the same for $\phi \vee \psi$, $\phi \rightarrow \psi$, $\phi \leftrightarrow \psi$, $\exists x \phi$?

Sentences:

A formula which does not have a free variable.

Example: $\forall x (P(x))$, $\forall x \exists y (x = y)$

Corollary: Let φ be a sentence and (D, I) be a structure. Then, either $(D, I, \gamma) \models \varphi$ for all assignments γ or, $(D, I, \gamma) \not\models \varphi$ for all assignments γ .

H.W. Prove this corollary.

When φ is a sentence, we have:

φ is true in a structure (D, I)

$$\left((D, I) \models \varphi \right)$$

φ is false in ^{OR} the structure (D, I)

$$\left((D, I) \not\models \varphi \right)$$

Expressivity of first-order language.

Consider a first-order language L , s.t.

$\mathcal{C}_L = \mathcal{F}_L = \mathcal{P}_L = \emptyset$. For this discussion,

let us assume D can be empty as well.

With such a language we can only talk about sets (as we cannot put structure on these sets).

- $\forall x \neg (x \equiv x)$: empty set
- $\forall x \forall y (x \equiv y)$: all sets with ≤ 1 element
- $\exists x \forall y (x \equiv y)$: singleton sets
- $\exists x (x = x) \wedge \forall x \forall y (x \equiv y)$: singleton sets.
- $\exists x \exists y (\neg (x = y) \wedge \forall z (z = x \vee z = y))$:
sets containing exactly two elements.

$$- \exists x \exists y \exists z (\neg(x=y) \wedge \neg(y=z) \wedge \neg(x=z) \\ \wedge \forall w (w=x \vee w=y \vee w=z))$$

sets containing exactly three elements

Similarly, we can express sets having exactly k elements, for any finite number k .

Can we express sets containing infinitely many elements? (Think about it!)

Notes:

- Here, we were only considering sentences.
- They are either true or false in a structure.
- We generally say: a formula ϕ is expressing a class of structures \mathcal{C} , say, if the following holds: $M \models \phi$ iff $M \in \mathcal{C}$.
- We will deal with these concepts in more detailed manner in the next class.